



PATENT

Case Docket No. FISHR11.001AUS

Date: October 30, 2002

Page 1

# 25

In re application of : Boyd, et al.  
App. No. : 09/104,340  
Filed : June 25, 1998  
For : RECEPTOR-LIGAND SYSTEM  
AND ASSAY  
Examiner : N. Basi  
Art Unit : 1646

) I hereby certify that this correspondence and all  
) marked attachments are being deposited with the  
) United States Postal Service as first class mail in  
) an envelope addressed to: U.S. Patent &  
) Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA  
) 22202-0327, on

October 31, 2002

(Date)

Daniel E. Altman, Reg. No. 34,115

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 2327  
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir/Madame:

Transmitted herewith is a Response in the above-identified application.

- (X) Response to Office Communication.  
(X) Return prepaid postcard.  
(X) Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Daniel E. Altman  
Registration No. 34,115  
Agent of Record

O:\DOCS\MCM\MCM-3139.DOC  
102902sev

RECEIVED  
NOV 08 2002  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

1630



#25  
Dmt  
6-503

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant	:	Boyd, et al.	)	Group Art Unit 1646
Appl. No.	:	09/104,340	)	
Filed	:	June 25, 1998	)	I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202, on
For	:	RECEPTOR-LIGAND SYSTEM AND ASSAY	)	October 31, 2002 <i>DEA 10/31/02</i> (Date)
Examiner	:	Basi, N.	)	<i>Daniel E. Altman</i> Daniel E. Altman, Registration No. 34,115

RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION

RECEIVED

NOV 08 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 2327  
Arlington, VA 22202

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Communication mailed from the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 2, 2002 (Paper No. 27).

REMARKS

The Examiner considered the reply filed on 07/01/02 nonresponsive because the Examiner believed that the Applicant did not address the rejection of claims 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) (presented on pages 7-9 of paper number 19, mailed 8/14/01). In view of this, Applicants submit the following:

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8, 20, 34-36, 39-40 and 43 as being anticipated by Boyd et al (Ref B, U.S. Patent No. 5,674,691). More specifically, the Examiner believes that Boyd et al. describes a polypeptide identical to that described in the instant application.

The protein of SEQ ID NO:4 of the present invention contains 271 amino acids and Claim 5 is directed towards an "isolated polypeptide which is capable of binding a LERK, said polypeptide consisting essentially of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:4." Thus the presently claimed invention requires that the isolated polypeptide consist essentially of the 271 amino acids of SEQ ID NO: 4.